

AIR QUALITY SUMMARY 2010

1.0 NITROGEN DIOXIDE DIFFUSION TUBES – WINCHESTER CITY CENTRE

LOCATION	GRID REF (SU)	2010 AVERAGE BIAS CORRECTED		PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2009
		UG/M3	Percentage Collection	
Site 1, 10 Eastgate St	48563 29391	37.5	89	-12.6
Site 2, Greyfriars 1	48566 29560	36.4	100	-9.6
Site 3, Greyfriars 2	48566 29560	37.6	100	-7.7
Site 4, Greyfriars 3	48566 29560	37.9	89	-7.8
Site 5, Friarsgate	48426 29523	34.0	100	-7.3
Site 6, Upper Brook St	48227 29504	41.8	100	-5.0
Site 7, Roadside Monitor	48213 29504	47.7	100	1.6
Site 8, Roadside Monitor	48213 29504	48.2	100	1.3
Site 9, Roadside Monitor	48213 29504	45.6	100	-5.2
Site 10, St Georges St	48106 29541	60.4	100	-1.7
Site 11, St Georges St Lad	48163 29512	56.0	100	-19.7
Site 12, Jewry St	48046 29692	49.3	100	-5.8
Site 13, Jewry St	48029 29666	52.9	100	-11.1
Site 14, Southgate St	47918 29413	41.9	89	-9.7
Site 15, Southgate St	47929 29409	48.3	100	-18.0
Site 16, Sussex St	47804 29741	39.8	89	-13.9
Site 17, City Road	47963 29875	40.1	100	-12.6
Site 18, 74 Northwalls	48234 29794	46.1	100	-5.9
Site 19, 15 Northwalls	48297 29789	33.3	100	-16.8
Site 20, Wales St	48842 29820	35.6	78	-7.4
Site 21, Alresford Rd	49557 29437	35.1	100	-11.6
Site 22, Chesil St	48679 29068	44.8	89	0.4
Site 23, Romsey Rd (Hilliers)	47003 29425	26.7	89	-10.1
Site 24, Stockbridge Rd	47534 30006	28.8	100	0.5
Site 25, Andover Rd	47745 30456	32.7	78	-9.3
Site 26, Worthy Rd 1	48092 30411	34.4	100	-1.0
Site 27, Worthy Rd 2	48092 30411	34.4	100	-4.2
Site 28, Worthy Rd 3	48092 30411	32.4	100	-13.7
Site 29, St Cross Rd	47842 29050	38.4	100	-8.0
Site 30, Romsey Rd	47495 29511	61.6	100	-7.3
Site 31, Andover Rd	47898 30065	38.2	100	-10.8
Site 32, Bus Station	48427 29401	43.3	89	-3.5

RED = Exceeds air quality objective

2.0 NITROGEN DIOXIDE DIFFUSION TUBES – DISTRICT WIDE STUDY

GRID REF'S (SU)	48062 24372	46690 24645	42835 25162	49161 32291	58828 32707	65915 12047	57305 1173	55331 17399	53638 08258
LOCATION F= Building Façade R = Roadside location	Twyford (F)	Otterbourne (R)	Hursley (F)	Kings Worthy (F)	New Alresford (R)	Denmead (R)	Wickham (R)	Bishops Waltham (R)	Whiteley (R)
%AGE COLLECTION	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	60
BIAS CORRECTED in ug/m3	34.5	33.9	18.4	28.5	35.3	23.0	34.0	35.3	31.9*
Percentage change from 2009	-1.2	-8.0	-2.9	-9.0	0.1	-10.9	-9.6	-11.1	-7.9

* Poor
Collection
Rate – Result
adjusted.

3.0 REAL TIME AIR QUALITY DATA - WINCHESTER CITY CENTRE

3.1 Short Term Air Quality Objectives

Year	Exceedances of Air Quality Objective					
	PM ₁₀ 50ug/m ³ (24 Hr Mean)		NO ₂ 200ug/m ³ (1 Hr Mean)		CO 10mg/m ³ (8hr running mean)	
	Background	Roadside	Background	Roadside	Background	Roadside
1997	8	22	0	299	0	0
1998	5	14	0	6	0	0
1999	1	3	0	8	0	0
2000	2	18	0	15	0	0
2001	3	16	0	12	0	0
2002	2	21	0	161	0	0
2003	21	20*	0	70	0	0
2004	Not enough data	17	0	0	0	0
2005	8	13	1	6	NA	0
2006	8	15	0	0	NA	0
2007	10	15	0	0	NA	0
2008	5	9	0	0	NA	0
2009	1	3	0	3	N/A	N/A
2010	1	4	0	0	N/A	N/A
Pass = less than 35 failures/year			Pass = less than 18 failures/year		Pass = No failures of objective	
Numbers in red FAILED the short term mean air quality objectives						

3.2 Long Term Air Quality Objectives

Year	Compliance with Annual Mean Air Quality Objectives					
	Mean PM ₁₀ in ug/m ³ 40ug/m ³ (Annual Mean)		Mean NO ₂ in ug/m ³ 40ug/m ³ (Annual Mean)		Mean CO in mg/m ³ No annual objective	
	Background	Roadside	Background	Roadside	Background	Roadside
1997	18.4	26.5	35.30	82.7	0.7	1.3
1998	17.2	21.9	39.7	58.1	0.5	1.3
1999	17.6	21.1	31.1	60.2	0.5	1.2
2000	16.4	21.2	33.0	68.6	0.5	1.2
2001	14.8	27.3	33.4	50.8	0.3	1.2
2002	19.8	28.9	27.3	65.5	0.3	1.0
2003	25.7	31.6	41.1	55.8	0.3	1.0
2004	Not enough data	29.8	29.4	52.1	0.3	0.8
2005	21.3	28.1	26.2	53.5	NA	0.5
2006	20.0	27.0	28.0	51.0	NA	0.5
2007	19.0	25.0	27.0	51.0	NA	0.5
2008	18.0	22.0	27.0	48.0	NA	0.4
2009	18.0	21.0	26.0	48.0	NA	NA
2010	17.0	22.0	27.0	50.0	NA	NA

Numbers in red FAILED the annual mean objective

5.0 TECHNICAL NOTES

5.1 Diffusion Tube Data

All diffusion tubes were from Gradko and used a mixture of 20 Percent TEA in water. This is the second year that this formulation has used as in accordance with DEFRA recommendations.

The results have been adjusted by using a locally generated bias correction factor using the procedure detailed in the new DEFRA guidance document Technical Guidance LAQM TG(09). This was calculated by locating three diffusion tubes adjacent to the roadside real time analyser and comparing results. The local bias correction calculated for 2010 was 1.03 which is higher than the national database average of 0.92 but is within the range of results reported by individual participating studies. The local bias correction factor has therefore been used as this provides a precautionary approach and also reflects that not all results locally were exact monthly averages.

Three of the sites have triplicate samples to investigate precision of the tubes. The data for 2010 shows all sites have good precision with coefficients of variation for all sampling periods and locations being less than 20 percent with an average of less than 10 percent (2.2, 2.9 and 3.4 for the three triplicate sites).

The Town Centre diffusion tubes have been located to represent nearest relevant public exposure locations i.e. domestic building facades.

The District wide diffusion tube survey continued this year using the same sites as for the last two years. The study is a mix of roadside sites and nearest domestic building facades. In general the older sites were roadside locations and these have been maintained in order to ensure consistency in data trends. The new sites have been located at distances representing the nearest domestic building façade in the study area.

5.2 Real Time Monitoring Results

The roadside site is located 2.75 metres from the kerb on St Georges St (Grid Ref SU 48506 29525) whilst the urban background site is located 18 metres from the kerb off Friarsgate (Grid Ref SU 48213 29504). The background site samples at a height of 2.80 metres and the roadside site at 2.65 metres. New instruments (like for like) were installed in March 2005.

Particle results still use an unheated BAM 1024 analyser and have therefore had a correction factor applied as now recommended, data being divided by 1.2. All data from previous years has now had the same correction factor applied. Data collection efficiency for all instruments in 2010 was 98 percent or greater except for the background PM10 which was 92 percent.

All results have been zero and spanned corrected with readings taken approximately every 2 weeks in accordance with DEFRA guidance. All gases used for calibration have been independently certified.

All data was ratified externally by one of the air quality consultants used by DEFRA.

6.0 SUMMARY OF RELEVANT AIR QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective		Date to be achieved by
	Concentration	Measured as	
Carbon monoxide	10.0mg/m ³	Maximum daily running 8 hour mean	31.12.2003
Nitrogen dioxide (Provisional)	200µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1 Hour mean	31.12.2005
	40µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particles (PM ₁₀) (Gravimetric)	50µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24 hour mean	31.12.2004
	40µg/m ³	Annual mean	31.12.2004

7.0 DISCUSSION

7.1 Nitrogen dioxide – Winchester City Centre

Both real time sites are in compliance with the 24 hour mean objective but as in previous years only the background site complies with the annual mean objective.

The diffusion tube results show that there are still areas adjacent the main roads within the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) that fail to meet the 2005 annual mean objective. These failures are spatially concentrated within the one way system around the town centre.

The diffusion tubes are located on building facades, therefore the nearer the buildings are to the road, the higher the results. This explains variations in the results for both Southgate St and North Walls, with much higher results being recorded on the side of the street where the buildings are closer to the road.

Most results are lower than for 2009 although this could in part be due to the higher bias correction value used in 2009. This was discussed within the air quality report for 2009. Overall the current trend in recent years appears to be mainly flat with no significant evidence of an overall improvement or degradation in air quality.

7.2 Nitrogen dioxide – District

In 2008 all sites were in compliance with the annual mean objective and showed a downward trend although this again could be partially due to the high local bias value used in 2009.

7.3 Particles (PM₁₀) – Winchester Town Centre

All sites are in compliance with both the current 24 hour and annual objectives. It is considered that there is now sufficient evidence to show overall compliance with the air quality objectives for PM₁₀ and a detailed assessment report will be submitted to

DEFRA recommending that Winchester undeclare the air quality management area (AQMA) with regards to this parameter. Monitoring will however continue in 2011 pending the submittal and approval of this report.

7.4 Carbon monoxide – Winchester Town Centre

No failures recorded. Due to the values being well below the air quality objectives we have now ceased monitoring for Carbon monoxide.